## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN	RE:	DAV	OL, IN	C./C.R	. BARD,	INC.,
PO	LYF	PROP	YLENI	HER	NIA ME	SH
PR	ODI	ICTS	LIARI	LITYI	LITIGA	TION

Case No. 2:18-md-2846

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

This	do	eum	ent	relates	to
DANI	EL S	S. BE	CKW	/ITH	

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-4563

## **SHORT FORM COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint pursuant to Case Management Order No. 9 and is/are to be bound by the rights, protections, and privileges and obligations of that Order. Plaintiff(s) hereby incorporate(s) the Master Complaint in MDL No. 2846 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show(s) the Court as follows:

Plain	tiff(s) further show(s) the Court as follows:
	The name of the person implanted with Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s):
	Daniel S. Beckwith
•	The name of any Consortium Plaintiff (if applicable):
•	Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):
	State of Residence:
	Minnesota
	District Court and Division in which action would have been filed absent direct filing:
	United States District Court for the District of Minnesota

5.	Defen	efendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):					
	$\boxtimes$	A. Davol, Inc.					
	$\boxtimes$	B. C.R. Bard, Inc.					
		C. Other (please list:)					
7.		Identify which of Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) was/were implanted (Check device(s) implanted):					
		3DMax Mesh					
		3DMax Light Mesh					
		Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart					
		Bard Mesh					
		Bard Soft Mesh					
		Composix					
		Composix E/X					
		Composix Kugel Hernia Patch					
		Composix L/P					
		Kugel Hernia Patch					
		Marlex					
		Modified Kugel Hernia Patch					
		Perfix Light Plug					
		PerFix Plug					
		Sepramesh IP					
		Sperma-Tex					
	$\boxtimes$	Ventralex Hernia Patch					
		Ventraley ST Datch					

	Ventralight ST
	Ventrio Patch
	Ventrio ST
	Visilex
	Other (please list in space provided below):
8.	ndants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) about which Plaintiff is making a claim (Check cable device(s)):
	3DMax Mesh
	3DMax Light Mesh
	Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart
	Bard Mesh
	Bard Soft Mesh
	Composix
	Composix E/X
	Composix Kugel Hernia Patch
	Composix L/P
	Kugel Hernia Patch
	Marlex
	Modified Kugel Hernia Patch
	Perfix Light Plug
	PerFix Plug

		Sepramesh IP		
		Sperma-Tex		
	X	Ventralex Hernia Patch		
		Ventralex ST Patch		
		Ventralight ST		
		Ventrio Patch		
		Ventrio ST		
		Visilex		
		Other (please list in space provided below):		
9.	Date o	of Implantation and State of Implantation: 01/02/2013 in Minnesota		
10.	Defen	As of the date of filing this Short Form Complaint, has the person implanted with Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) had subsequent surgical intervention due to the Hernia Mesh Device(s)?: Yes_X No		
11.	Basis	of Jurisdiction:		
	X	Diversity of Citizenship		
		Other:		
12.	Count	s in the Master Complaint adopted by Plaintiff(s):		
	$\boxtimes$	Count I – Strict Product Liability- Defective Design		
	$\boxtimes$	Count II - Strict Product Liability- Failure to Warn		
	$\boxtimes$	Count III - Strict Product Liability- Manufacturing Defect		
	$\boxtimes$	Count IV- Negligence		

	Count V- Negligence Per Se	
X	Count VI- Gross Negligence	
$\boxtimes$	Count VII – State Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable Sconsumer Protection law(s)):	State
	en. Laws §§ 6-13.1-1-28 (2001) esota Consumer Fraud Act, Minn. Stat. 325F.68-70	
$\boxtimes$	Count VIII – Breach of Implied Warranty	
$\boxtimes$	Count IX – Breach of Express Warranty	
X	Count X – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress	
X	Count XI – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	
$\boxtimes$	Count XII - Negligent Misrepresentation	
X	Count XIII - Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation	
X	Count XIV - Fraudulent Concealment	
	Count XV – Wrongful Death	
	Count XVI – Loss of Consortium	
X	Count XVII – Punitive Damages	
	Other Count(s) (please identify and state factual and legal bases for other claims not included in the Master Complaint below):	
X	Jury Trial is Demanded as to All Counts	
	Jury Trial is NOT Demanded as to All Counts; if Jury Trial is	
	Demanded as to Any Count(s), identify which ones (list below):	

s/ Brian S. Franciskato

## Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Brian S. Franciskato, MO Bar #41634 Nash & Franciskato Law Firm 2300 Main Street, Suite 170, Kansas City, MO 64108 (816) 221-6600 bfranciskato@nashfranciskato.com